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ABSTRACT

Background: Paradoxical reactions during anti-TB treatment represent a real challenge to pneumo-phthisiologists and require high index of suspicion. It has been suggested that this reaction during appropriate treatment is common and severe in HIV-negative individuals.

Our objective was to determine the frequency of paradoxical reactions and their associated features.

Method: A prospective study was undertaken in a population of HIV-TB+ patients to determine the frequency of paradoxical reactions and their associated features.

Results: Paradoxical reactions occurred in 1.5% of all our hospital’s TB patients.

Conclusion: Paradoxical reactions during anti-TB treatment is common in HIV-uninfected individuals and must be considered after careful exclusion of medication non-adherence, other infections, development of resistance, and other similar conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Paradoxical reactions during anti-TB treatment represent a real diagnostic and therapeutic challenge to pneumo-phthisiologists, and were defined as the worsening of preexisting tuberculous lesions on the basis of clinical or radiological findings or the development of new TB lesions in patients who had received anti-TB treatment for at least 10 days and whose conditions were reported to be improving (1).

This phenomenon received renewed interest because of the immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome that can occur in HIV-infected patients with TB when they start receiving HAART (2).

The objective of our work is to report our experience through a prospective study which was 2 years long and concerned HIV-uninfected patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This is a prospective study conducted in our pneumo-phthisiology department during a period from January 2014 to December 2015. Included in this study were patients not infected with HIV and patients whose paradoxical reaction diagnosis during anti-TB treatment was made. In all of our patients, TB diagnosis was made after histological and/or bacteriological confirmation. All of our patients showed initial clinical and radiological improvement after antibacillary treatment administration, followed by clinical and/or radiological aggravation.

A detailed medical history and a comprehensive assessment including a new intradermal reaction were performed in all our patients in order to eliminate non-compliance to treatment or differential diagnoses such as; secondary infection with nosocomial or mundane germs, inadequate or insufficient treatment, resistance, or side
the mecha-nism of paradoxical reaction is not well-
understood, but it has been attributed to hosting
immunologic reactions, with possible mechanisms
including delayed hypersensitivity response, decrease
in immune suppression and response to mycobacterial
antigens (Campbell 1977).

Involvement of lymph nodes during paradoxical
deterioration (30%) in our series was significantly less
common than that in HIV-positive patients (41%) (3, 8).
The paradoxical reaction does not correspond to a relapse
of the opportunistic infection but to restoration of a
pathological immune response. Reported in 30 to 35% of
HIV-infected patients through immune restoration
syndrome during antiretroviral treatment, these
paradoxical reactions have also been described in HIV-
uninfected patients (9). This diagnosis should not be made
at first hand, and should primarily eliminate TB treatment
inefficiency, for poor adherence, absorption problem,
potentially due to drug interactions, or because of resistant
mycobacterium strain. Another inter-current opportunistic
infection should also be eliminated (10). Despite many
hopes, today we do not have immunoassays that are used
routinely to assist this diagnosis of exclusion (2). The
paradoxical reaction manifested clinically by a worsening
of preexisting symptoms in 74.6% of cases, or the
occurrence of new clinical signs in 25.4% of cases (3).
Some authors set the occurrence time to an average of four
weeks (11, 12). The slow action of anti-TB drugs could
explain the latency. The time of occurrence of other lesions
or worsening of pre-existing symptoms may correspond to
the time required for the action of anti-TB drugs (13).
The pathogenesis of paradoxical reactions is not fully
elucidated. The hypothesis of a restoration of the specific
immune response was proposed. It is considered that the
pathophysiology of paradoxical reactions is quite similar
to the pathophysiology described in HIV-infected patients.
Immunity is initially altered by TB itself due to its
immunosuppressive effect. However, it is gradually
corrected through TB treatment. The immunosuppressive
effects of M. tuberculosis in tuberculosis disease were
found at several levels: apoptosis, dysfunction of antigen-
presenting cells, T cell lymphopenia and reduced
production of IFN by T cells. The main risk factors of
paradoxical reactions are extrapulmonary or disseminate
tuberculosis sites, initial lymphopenia and increased
lymphocytes (2). The paradoxical reaction is encountered
in 6 to 30% of patients undergoing treatment for all forms
of tuberculosis (13). Extrapulmonary tuberculosis is found
in 80% of cases of paradoxical reaction (3, 14).
Tuberculosis meningitis and miliary tuberculosis come at
the top of the list (15). The central nervous system, pleura
and lungs are the most commonly affected sites. (6) The
frequency of lymph node site is variable according to the
authors. Tuberculous lymphadenitis is complicated by
paradoxical reaction in 4 to 23% of cases (3, 16), with an
increase in the size of lymph nodes (12%), a fluctuation
(11%), erythema and spontaneous discharge (7%). New
lymphadenopathy may occur in 27 to 36% of cases (17).
The site is cervical in 68% of cases (1). Sample taken at the time of paradoxical reaction usually shows no results (1, 9, 14). PCR can remain positive for a long period (18). The finding of concomitant increase in ALC and conversion of the tuberculin skin test in our patients during paradoxical deterioration concurred with the observation in a previous report who demonstrated a conversion of the tuberculin skin test in five HIV-negative patients during paradoxical deterioration (3, 19-21).

In rare cases, M. tuberculosis could be isolated and its sensitivity to the used anti-TB drugs is not changed (22). The changing of anti-TB molecules is not necessary in a paradoxical reaction. Nevertheless, some authors have extended the quadruple tuberculosis therapy during three months then relaying by triple therapy instead of a double therapy for the rest of treatment. The use of corticosteroids is recommended in meningitis, cerebral tuberculosis, pericarditis or hypoxic miliary (1). Corticosteroid therapy has been widely used (40% of cases) in all forms to limit the exaggerated inflammatory reaction of the body (3).

Faced with a tuberculous lymphadenitis in an English study, corticosteroid therapy is recommended if there was a risk of compression of adjacent organs (9). In neutromeningeal forms, corticosteroid therapy remains subject to discussion; however, it appears in some recommendations of experts (23). If a mortality reduction was reported in a series including a small number of patients, the advantage in terms of morbidity seems more modest. In pericarditis, the advantage of corticosteroid therapy on mortality reduction and prevention of occurrence of constrictive pericarditis is controversial. Important abscesses should probably be drained but it is not known how often it should be done (18). The duration of treatment is an average of two to four weeks (22). The prognosis is favorable in most cases. Most ganglion forms show spontaneous resolution in about 2.5 months and 7 to 11% had residual lymphadenopathy at the end of treatment (18). Concerning the damage to the central nervous system, deaths were reported especially in patients co-infected with HIV with 13 to 30% of mortality, despite treatment with corticosteroids, and in pregnant women with 38% of mortality (2).

The use of corticosteroids in the adjunctive management of paradoxical reactions is common. Some case reports have described rapid recovery after initiation of corticosteroid therapy (1, 24-26). The advantage of corticosteroid therapy in reducing edema around enlarging intracranial tuberculomas is apparent, but the advantage associated with the use of such therapy for lymph node TB is less clear.

CONCLUSION

Paradoxical reaction during anti-TB treatment can take many aspects. Paradoxical reaction requires the implementation of a comprehensive test before making the diagnosis: a diagnosis of exclusion. There is no consensus on the therapeutic management of this possibility but some authors suggest an extension of TB treatment and/or corticosteroids. Although evolution is usually spontaneously favorable, complications are possible. Further studies are also needed to better understand the pathogenesis and risk factors in immunocompetent patients, which would help identify patients at risk of developing paradoxical reaction during TB treatment and better control its clinical manifestation. We believe that the role of corticosteroid therapy can only be defined by a randomized placebo-controlled trial.
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